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In April 2015, the photo enforcement project for the City of West Hollywood captured 1,940 events. The project recorded 1,014 violations and 926 non-violations. The Sheriff's Department issued 337 citations reflecting a $36 \%$ issuance rate and a controllable issuance rate of $87 \%$.

The following controllable non-issued violations occurred:

```
-25 Dark Interior (Sun glare, known issue)
-26 Equipment Malfunction
    * 2 at 3103 (isolated: no flash)
    * 1 at 3131 (isolated: no flash)
    * 5 at 3141 (sporadic, isolated: no flash)
    * 1 at 3142 (isolated, no data box visible)
    * 1 at 3161 (isolated: no flash)
    * 1 at 3164 (sporadic ,no flash. Flash replaced 5/6)
-1 Operator Data Error (isolated, film jam)
```

3143 remains offline due to the building construction going on at that site. 3152 is active in it's place until the construction is completed.

In the Nervs - Photo Enforcement Around the Country

## Illinois Appellate Court Defends Short Yellow Times

Illinois Appellate Court allows red light camera ticket to stand despite illegally short yellow time. www.thenewspaper.com
5/14/2015
The second highest court in Illinois intervened last Friday to reinstate a red light camera ticket issued at Chicago intersections with illegally short yellow times. Bohdan Gernaga received a pair of $\$ 100$ tickets in the mail after Redflex Traffic Systems of Australia photographed his car in 2010 and 2011. He challenged each ticket in an administrative hearing run by the city.

Barnet Fagel, a video expert, testified that the yellow time shown in the violation videos was 2.8 seconds in the first ticket, and 2.9 seconds in the second. Both fall below the 3.0 second minimum mandated under federal regulations. He argued further that the citation notice claimed the signal timing was 3.0 seconds, proving the violation notice upon which the charge was based was legally defective. The administrative hearing officer decided to ignore Fagel's evidence.
"[Gernaga's] witness is alleging that the amber light is too short, and he's measuring the time just from the amber, not when it switched to red," the hearing officer objected. "After reviewing all of the evidence and testimony given today, I'm going to give greater weight to the city and find that the city did prove its case."

Fagel uses video editing software to calculate the precise time, down to a thirtieth of a second, that the yellow light is illuminated. While convenient for the city, Fagel says the hearing officer's definition does not fit the long-established legal definitions.
"City of Chicago ordinance, Illinois vehicle code and Federal regulations all refer to the yellow interval as 'steady yellow interval,'" Fagel told TheNewspaper. "This statement defines the signal time without the warm-up or cool down time of the bulb or LED. Incidentally, if green and yellow lights are on at the same time this interval also is not counted as steady yellow time."

On appeal, this evidence persuaded Cook County Circuit Court Judge Patrick Rogers that the ticket was defective and that it must be thrown out. The city's own inspector general also documented thousands of cases where tickets were issued at intersections with 2.9 second yellow times, a fact largely that came to light largely because Fagel kept succeeding in having cases thrown out.

The three-judge panel avoided the issue by finding a mathematical error in Fagel's affidavit that calculated a yellow time of 2.969 seconds for one intersection. The time was actually 2.936 seconds. Fagel says it was a typo, later corrected, that amounted to the difference of one frame of video, but the court deemed it significant.
"An expert's testimony is only as reliable as the facts upon which it is based," Justice Shelvin Louise Marie Hall wrote for the three-judge panel. "In sum, the evidence supports the administrative law judge's finding that plaintiff committed the red light traffic violation at issue and that an opposite conclusion is not clearly evident."

A fraction of a second difference in yellow time can have a significant influence on the number of red light camera citations issued. The majority of straight-through red light "violations" happen when a driver misjudges the end of the yellow light by less than 0.25 seconds -- literally the blink of an eye. In most cases, a yellow shortened by one second can increase the number of tickets issued by 110 percent, according to TTI.



City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3103: E/B Beverly @ Robertson (GLD-4 Camera System)

-Citations
$\square$ Controllable Non-Issued
-Uncontrollable Non-Issued


City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3104: W/B Beverly @ Robertson (GLD-4 Camera System)


-Citations
-Controllable Non-Issued
Uncontrollable Non-Issued

| Events | Jan-15 | Feb-15 | Mar-15 | Apr-15 | May-15 | Jun-15 | Jul-15 | Aug-15 | Sep-15 | Oct-15 | Nov-15 | Dec-15 | $\frac{\text { Year to Dal }}{\text { Ouantiv }}$ | $\frac{\text { verage }}{0}$ |  | Total | $\frac{2011}{\text { Ouantity }}$ | ${ }_{\text {age }}{ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Violations | 56 | 9 | 15 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 17\% | 105 | 17\% | 32 | 15\% |
| Violations | 161 | 115 | 129 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 | 83\% | 518 | 83\% | 184 | 85\% |
| Total: | 217 | 124 | 144 | 138 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 156 | 100\% | 623 | 100\% | 217 | 100\% |
| Violations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uncontrollable Non-Issued | 104 | 77 | 90 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | 65\% | 339 | 65\% | 130 | 69\% |
| Controllable Non-Issued | 1 | 1 | 17 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 5\% | 19 | 4\% | 5 | 3\% |
| Citations | 56 | 37 | 22 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 31\% | 160 | 31\% | 53 | 28\% |
| Total: | 161 | 115 | 129 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131 | 100\% | 518 | 100\% | 188 | 100\% |
| Non-Violations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rear Axle Violation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 8\% |
| Emergency Vehicle | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 10\% | 11 | 10\% | 3 | 10\% |
| Right Turn | 5 | 0 | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 19\% | 16 | 15\% | 4 | 12\% |
| No Violation Occurred | 48 | 8 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 71\% | 78 | 74\% | 23 | 70\% |
| Total: | 56 | 9 | 15 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | 100\% | 105 | 100\% | 33 | 100\% |
| Uncontrollable Non-Issued Violat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Plate | 43 | 28 | 33 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 35\% | 125 | 37\% | 41 | 28\% |
| Out of State Plate | 10 | 8 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9\% | 32 | 9\% | 10 | 7\% |
| Glare on Plate | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3\% | 9 | 3\% | 16 | 11\% |
| Illegible Plate | 0 | 2 | 17 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 11\% | 30 | 9\% | 20 | 14\% |
| Plate Obstructed | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 0\% | 2 | 1\% |
| Windshield Glare | 28 | 18 | 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 21\% | 75 | 22\% | 20 | 14\% |
| Driver Obstructed | 6 | 4 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6\% | 22 | 6\% | 4 | 3\% |
| Car Obstructed | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% |
| No DMV Match Found | 12 | 8 | 9 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 10\% | 34 | 10\% | 12 | 8\% |
| LASD Expired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 8\% |
| LASD Return | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3\% | 9 | 3\% | 8 | 5\% |
| Yellow Interval Not Met | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% |
| Total: | 104 | 77 | 90 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 100\% | 339 | 100\% | 146 | 100\% |
| Controllable Non-Issued Violation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Framing of Plate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Focus / Clarity of Plate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5\% | 1 | 5\% | 6 | 53\% |
| Dark Interior | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5\% | 1 | 5\% | 2 | 21\% |
| Framing of Driver | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Focus / Clarity of Driver | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 26\% |
| Framing of Car | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Operator / Data Error | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 89\% | 17 | 89\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Exposure | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Equipment Malfunction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Xerox Expired | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Total: | 1 | 1 | 17 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 100\% | 19 | 100\% | 11 | 100\% |
| Summary Metrics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Year to Date Average |  | Year to Date Total |  | 2014 Average |  |
| Daily Ave Vehicle Passes | 14,581 | 13,207 | 13,313 | 12,972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,518 |  | 54,073 |  | 14,137 |  |
| Ave Issued Speed | 31 | 29 | 33 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |  | 31 |  | 32 |  |
| Ave Issued Red Seconds | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 |  | 1.1 |  | 1 |  |
| Citiation / Violation Iss Rate | 35\% | 32\% | 17\% | 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31\% |  | 31\% |  | 29\% |  |
| Controllable Iss Rate | 98\% | 97\% | 56\% | 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81\% |  | 88\% |  | 98\% |  |


-Citations
-Controllable Non-Issued
-Uncontrollable Non-Issued


City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3113: E/B Fountain @ Fairfax (GLD-4 Camera System)


City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3121: N/B Crescent Heights @ Fountain (GLD-4 Camera System)


City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3122: S/B Crescent Heights @ Fountain (GLD-4 Camera System)


City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3123: E/B Fountain @ Crescent Heights (GLD-4 Camera System)

-Citations
Controllable Non-Issued
aUncontrollable Non-Issued


City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3124: W/B Fountain @ Crescent Heights (GLD-4 Camera System)





City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3141: N/B La Brea @ Fountain (GLD-4 Camera System)



City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3142: S/B La Brea @ Fountain (GLD-4 Camera System)




City of West Hollywood Approach Summary Report Location 3151: N/B Fairfax @ Santa Monica (GLD-4 Camera System)


City of West Hollywood Approach Summary Report Location 3152: S/B Fairfax @ Santa Monica (GLD-4 Camera System)


City of West Hollywood



City of West Hollywood
Approach Summary Report Location 3161: N/B La Brea @ Santa Monica (GLD-4 Camera System)





City of West Hollywood Customer Service Report April 2015

## CallsFor Information

| Reason for Call | Apr-15 | 3 M onth Average | Year to Date Average | Year to Date Total | $2014$ <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Appointment | 10 | 12 | 12 | 47 | 20 |
| Bail | 3 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 12 |
| Complaint | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Contest Violation | 5 | 8 | 8 | 30 | 13 |
| Courtesy Notice | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Explain Citation | 3 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 8 |
| Explain Court | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Explain the Project | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Extension | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Affidavit of Non-Liability | 10 | 14 | 13 | 51 | 16 |
| Affidavit of Non-Liability Status | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Traffic School | 2 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 3 |
| Total Calls | 34 | 52 | 48 | 190 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative Processing | Apr-15 | 3 M onth <br> Average | Year to Date Average | Year to Date Total | Year to <br> Date <br> Average |
| Appointments Scheduled | 10 | 14 | 13 | 52 | 17 |
| Affidavits of Non-Liability Processed | 63 | 85 | 79 | 317 | 172 |
| Court Packets Prepared | 39 | 40 | 40 | 159 | 72 |
| Trial By Declaration Packets Prepared | 5 | 4 | 5 | 18 | 11 |


| Direction | Location | Code | Days of Enforcement | Events | Violations | Citations | Yield* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB | Beverly \& Robertson | 3103 | 30 | 67 | 41 | 16 | 0.5 |
| WB | Beverly \& Robertson | 3104 | 30 | 54 | 45 | 17 | 0.6 |
| NB | Fairfax \& Fountain | 3111 | 30 | 138 | 113 | 45 | 1.5 |
| SB | Fairfax \& Fountain | 3112 | 30 | 64 | 53 | 16 | 0.5 |
| EB | Fountain \& Fairfax | 3113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| NB | Crescent Heights \& Fountain | 3121 | 30 | 54 | 30 | 13 | 0.4 |
| SB | Crescent Heights \& Fountain | 3122 | 30 | 45 | 18 | 10 | 0.3 |
| EB | Fountain \& Crescent Heights | 3123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| WB | Fountain \& Crescent Heights | 3124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| NB | La Cienega \& Melrose | 3131 | 30 | 32 | 22 | 8 | 0.3 |
| SB | La Cienega \& Melrose | 3132 | 30 | 118 | 43 | 17 | 0.6 |
| EB | Melrose \& La Cienega | 3133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| NB | La Brea \& Fountain | 3141 | 30 | 280 | 105 | 37 | 1.2 |
| SB | La Brea \& Fountain | 3142 | 30 | 358 | 41 | 14 | 0.5 |
| EB | Sunset \& La Cienega | 3143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| WB | Sunset \& La Cienega | 3144 | 30 | 137 | 92 | 44 | 1.5 |
| NB | Fairfax \& Santa Monica | 3151 | 30 | 59 | 33 | 16 | 0.5 |
| SB | Fairfax \& Santa Monica | 3152 | 30 | 61 | 25 | 8 | 0.3 |
| EB | Santa Monica \& Fairfax | 3153 | 30 | 83 | 32 | 17 | 0.6 |
| WB | Santa Monica \& Fairfax | 3154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| NB | La Brea \& Santa Monica | 3161 | 30 | 48 | 24 | 7 | 0.2 |
| SB | La Brea \& Santa Monica | 3162 | 30 | 65 | 32 | 14 | 0.5 |
| EB | Santa Monica \& La Brea | 3163 | 30 | 185 | 115 | 36 | 1.2 |
| WB | Santa Monica \& La Brea | 3164 | 30 | 92 | 62 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Totals |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Average Days of Enf. } \\ \mathbf{3 0 . 0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1,940 | 926 | 337 | 11 |

*Yield is the average number of citations issued per day of enforcement.
$\square$ Location not active (Part of Rotation Schedule)
Location active (Part of Rotation Schedule or In Place of Damaged Location)

| DATE | 3103 | 3104 | 3111 | 3112 | 3113 | 3121 | 3122 | 3123 | 3124 | 3131 | 3132 | 3133 | 3141 | 3142 | 3143 | 3144 | 3151 | 3152 | 3153 | 3154 | 3161 | 3162 | 3163 | 3164 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/01/15 | 1847/8 | 1374110 |  |  |  | 110516 | 1133110 |  |  | 150412 | 1151/26 |  |  |  |  | 173614 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/02/15 |  |  | 1691/14 | 1605/6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1241/24 | 150154 |  |  | 139218 | 1149118 | 1921/4i |  | 151014 | 189018 | 1478120 | 1140116 |
| 03/03/15 | 184718 | 137418 |  |  |  | 110516 | 1133/6 |  |  | 150414 | 1151/14 |  |  |  |  | 1736/6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/04/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/05/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/06/15 | 1847110 | 1374112 | 1691/36 | 1605126 |  | 1105/4 | 1133/16 |  |  | 150414 | 1151/16 |  | 124180 | 150198 |  | 1736122 | 139218 | 1149126 | 1921/20 |  | 1510116 | 1890110 | 1478178 | 114018 |
| 03/07115 |  |  | 1691/8 | 1605/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124112 | 1501/44 |  |  | 1392/0 | 114912 | 1921/10 |  | 151010 | 189012 | 147816 | 114014 |
| 03/08/15 | 1847/10 | 137416 |  |  |  | 110518 | 1133/8 |  |  | 150414 | 1151/16 |  |  |  |  | 1736116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/09/15 |  |  | 1691/10 | 1605110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124158 | 1501/46 |  |  | $1392 / 6$ | 1149112 | 1921/12 |  | 151016 | 189018 | 1478124 | 114016 |
| 03/10/15 | 184714 | 137418 |  |  |  | 1105/10 | 1133/4 |  |  | 150412 | 1151/12 |  |  |  |  | 1736124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/11/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/12/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/13/15 | 184718 | 1374/2 | 1691/44 | 1605112 |  | 110516 | 1133/8 |  |  | 1504114 | 1151/34 |  | 1241/68 | 150170 |  | 1736/30 | 1392/18 | 1149110 | 1921/36 |  | 1510110 | 1890120 | 147856 | 1140122 |
| 03/14/15 |  |  | 1691/12 | 1605/4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1241116 | $1501 / 22$ |  |  | 13922 2 | 114912 | 192110 |  | 151018 | 189012 | 1478110 | 114018 |
| 03/15/15 | 1847/12 | 1374/4 |  |  |  | 110512 | 113312 |  |  | 1504110 | 1151/24 |  |  |  |  | 1736124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/16/15 |  |  | 1691116 | 1605116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124128 | 1501/32 |  |  | 139218 | 114918 | 1921/6 |  | 151014 | 1890/4 | 1478112 | 1140112 |
| 03/17/15 | 1847114 | 1374/8 |  |  |  | 1105/14 | $1133 / 10$ |  |  | 1504/4 | $1151 / 22$ |  |  |  |  | 1736128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/18/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/19/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03120/15 | 1847/14 | 1374122 | 1691/52 | 160516 |  | 1105/10 | 1133/10 |  |  | 1504/14 | $1151 / 24$ |  | 1241/84 | 1501/126 |  | 1736144 | 1392122 | 1149116 | 1921/26 |  | 1510120 | 1890120 | 1478154 | 1140/32 |
| 03/21/15 |  |  | 1691/4 | 1605/6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124120 | 1501/34 |  |  | 139218 | 114916 | 19210 |  | 151012 | 189018 | 1478110 | 1140114 |
| 03/22/15 | 184718 | 1374/8 |  |  |  | 1105/12 | 1133/8 |  |  | 150414 | 1151/20 |  |  |  |  | 1736118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03123/15 |  |  | 1691118 | 1605/4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1241/32 | 150158 |  |  | 139218 | 114912 | 1921/10 |  | 151012 | 189016 | 1478126 | 114016 |
| 03124/15 | 1847112 | 1374/2 |  |  |  | 1105/12 | 113318 |  |  | 150414 | 1151/20 |  |  |  |  | 1736112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/25/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/26/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03127115 | 184718 | 1374/10 | 1691/46 | 1605112 |  | 1105/12 | 1133/0 |  |  | 150412 | 1151/12 |  | 1241/108 | 1501182 |  | 1736128 | 1392124 | $1149 / 4$ | 1921/16 |  | 1510118 | 1890/36 | 1478148 | 1140118 |
| 03128/15 |  |  | $1691 / 4$ | 160512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1241 / 24$ | 1501/38 |  |  | 13922 | $1149 / 4$ | 1921/16 |  | 151012 | 189016 | 1478114 | 114016 |
| 03129/15 | 1847/14 | 1374/14 |  |  |  | 110514 | 1133/12 |  |  | 150410 | 1151/2 |  |  |  |  | 1736112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03/30/15 | 184718 | 137412 |  |  |  | 110518 | 113312 |  |  | 150412 | $1151 / 6$ |  |  |  |  | 173618 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $3103=E B$ | Beverly | Roberts |  |  | $3121=$ NB | Crescent | eights \& | ountain |  | $3132=S B$ | a Cien | \& Melr |  |  | $3144=W$ | Sunset | La Ciene |  |  | $3161=$ NB | La Brea | Santa M | nica |
|  | $3104=$ WB | Beverly | Roberts |  |  | $3122=$ SB | Crescent | eights \& | ountain |  | $3133=E B$ | Melrose | La Cien |  |  | 3151 = N | Fairfax \& | Santa Mo |  |  | $3162=$ SB | La Brea | Santa M | nica |
|  | 3111 = NB | Fairfax | Fountain |  |  | $3123=E B$ | Fountain | Cresce | Heights |  | 3141 = NB | a Brea | Fountain |  |  | $3152=$ S | Fairfax \& | Santa Mo |  |  | 3163 = EB | Santa M | ica \& La | Brea |
|  | $3112=$ SB | Fairfax | Fountain |  |  | $3124=$ WB | Fountain | Cresc | Heights |  | 3142 = SB | La Brea | Fountain |  |  | 3153= EB | Santa Mo | ca \& Fai |  |  | 3164 = w | Santa M | nica \& La |  |
|  | $3113=$ EB | Fountai | Fairfax |  |  | $3131=$ NB | La Ciene | \& Melr |  |  | $3143=$ EB | Sunset | a Ciene |  |  | $3154=W$ | Santa M | nica \& F | $f a x$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Weekend |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Holiday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Location | t Active | otation S | dule) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Location Location | tive (Rot ut of Serv | ion Sched (Needing | or In Repairs | ace of Dam Due to Co | ged Locat struction) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Apr-15 |  | 3 Month Average |  | YTD Total |  | YTD Average |  | 2014 Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disposition Type | Count /Amount Paid |  | Count /Amount Paid |  | Count /Amount Paid |  | Count /Amount Paid |  | Count /Amount Paid |  |
| Bail Forfeiture | 118 | \$53,612 | 136 | \$66,709 | 527 | \$253,738 | 132 | \$63,435 | 154 | \$75,060 |
| Fine Paid | 25 | \$6,841 | 30 | \$8,262 | 116 | \$31,627 | 29 | \$7,907 | 61 | \$26,447 |
| Department Void | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Not Guilty | 598 | \$0 | 626 | \$0 | 2,475 | \$0 | 619 | \$0 | 608 | \$0 |
| Section D Void | 63 | \$0 | 89 | \$0 | 330 | \$0 | 83 | \$0 | 172 | \$0 |
| Traffic School | 102 | \$48,541 | 119 | \$56,754 | 458 | \$218,802 | 115 | \$54,701 | 157 | \$76,700 |
| Court Gross Total | 906 | \$108,994 | 1,000 | \$131,724 | 3,906 | \$504,167 | 977 | \$126,042 | 1,151 | \$178,207 |
| City Gross Total* | 245 | \$39,068 | 285 | \$45,499 | 1,101 | \$175,566 | 275 | \$43,892 | 371 | \$54,615 |

*Logic built on following model (assuming the new 2009 fine and fee structure):
City receives $\$ 157.41$ of $\$ 490.00$ citation where bail forfeiture and fine paid occurs
City receives $\$ 162.34$ of $\$ 529.00$ citation when traffic school option is paid for

April 2015

|  | $\frac{\text { Total }}{\text { Events }}$ | $\frac{\text { Non }}{\text { Violations }}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{\text { citable }}$ | $\frac{\text { Potential }}{\text { Violations }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Initial }}{\text { Rejects }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { Actual }}{\text { Violations }} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { N/A }}{\text { Returns }}$ | $\frac{\underline{\text { DMV }}}{\frac{\text { Success }}{\text { Rate }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Policel }}{\text { Client }} \\ & \text { Rejected } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Issuance }}{\text { Rate }}$ | $\frac{\text { Citations }}{\text { Issued }}$ | $\frac{\text { Fin }}{\text { Paid }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Bail }}{\text { Forfiture }} \end{aligned}$ | Traffic | $\frac{\text { Sum of }}{\text { Paid }}$ | $\frac{\text { Pay }}{\text { Rate }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Closed }}{\text { No Pay }} \end{aligned}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { Cancel }]{\text { Nixie }}$ | Pending | $\frac{\text { Closure }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Court }}{\text { Packs }}$ | $\frac{\frac{\text { TbD }}{\text { Packs }}}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Contest }}{\text { Rate }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug-99 | 5,074 | 2,969 | 41\% | 2,105 | 1,412 | 693 | 486 | 70\% | 4 | 23\% | 482 | 21 | 148 | 201 | 370 | 77\% | 104 | 1 | 7 | 98\% |  |  |  |
| Sep-99 | 5,607 | 3,163 | 44\% | 2,444 | 1,499 | 945 | 635 | 67\% | 0 | 26\% | 635 | 22 | 176 | 284 | 482 | 76\% | 118 | 14 | 21 | 94\% |  |  |  |
| Oct-99 | 7,141 | 3,425 | 52\% | 3,716 | 1,897 | 1,819 | 1,533 | 84\% | 11 | 41\% | 1,522 | 45 | 451 | 656 | 1,152 | 76\% | 297 | 28 | 45 | 95\% |  |  |  |
| Nov-99 | 6,954 | 3,908 | 44\% | 3,046 | 1,160 | 1,886 | 1,698 | 90\% | 4 | 56\% | 1,694 | 45 | 557 | 692 | 1,294 | 76\% | 301 | 53 | 46 | 94\% |  |  |  |
| Dec-99 | 6,909 | 3,728 | 46\% | 3,181 | 1,300 | 1,881 | 1,759 | 94\% | 4 | 55\% | 1,755 | 31 | 581 | 755 | 1,367 | 78\% | 254 | 44 | 90 | 92\% |  |  |  |
| Jan-00 | 6,380 | 3,365 | 47\% | 3,015 | 1,343 | 1,672 | 1,662 | 99\% | 31 | 54\% | 1,631 | 54 | 568 | 645 | 1,267 | 78\% | 218 | 44 | 102 | 91\% |  |  |  |
| Feb-00 | 5,654 | 2,699 | 52\% | 2,955 | 1,377 | 1,578 | 1,548 | 98\% | 11 | 52\% | 1,537 | 38 | 517 | 603 | 1,158 | 75\% | 270 | 53 | 56 | 93\% |  |  |  |
| Mar-00 | 6,159 | 2,902 | 53\% | 3,257 | 1,626 | 1,631 | 1,612 | 99\% | 3 | 49\% | 1,609 | 32 | 541 | 636 | 1,209 | 75\% | 283 | 50 | 67 | 93\% |  |  |  |
| Apr-00 | 5,345 | 2,897 | 46\% | 2,448 | 1,275 | 1,173 | 1,157 | 99\% | 9 | 47\% | 1,148 | 27 | 407 | 421 | 855 | 74\% | 236 | 21 | 36 | 95\% |  |  |  |
| May-00 | 6,865 | 3,931 | 43\% | 2,934 | 1,442 | 1,492 | 1,479 | 99\% | 3 | 50\% | 1,476 | 46 | 546 | 544 | 1,136 | 77\% | 204 | 16 | 120 | 91\% |  |  |  |
| Jun-00 | 7,428 | 3,998 | 46\% | 3,430 | 1,507 | 1,923 | 1,900 | 99\% | 3 | 55\% | 1,897 | 69 | 678 | 706 | 1,453 | 77\% | 244 | 20 | 180 | 89\% |  |  |  |
| Jul-00 | 6,638 | 3,611 | 46\% | 3,027 | 1,521 | 1,506 | 1,491 | 99\% | 3 | 49\% | 1,488 | 63 | 538 | 548 | 1,149 | 77\% | 197 | 3 | 139 | 90\% |  |  |  |
| Aug-00 | 4,791 | 1,580 | 67\% | 3,211 | 1,451 | 1,760 | 1,736 | 99\% | 0 | 54\% | 1,736 | 70 | 595 | 629 | 1,294 | 75\% | 233 | - | 209 | 88\% |  |  |  |
| Sep-00 | 4,529 | 1,729 | 62\% | 2,800 | 1,205 | 1,595 | 1,574 | 99\% | 1 | 56\% | 1,573 | 61 | 619 | 532 | 1,212 | 77\% | 221 |  | 140 | 91\% |  |  |  |
| Oct-00 | 4,284 | 1,583 | 63\% | 2,701 | 1,172 | 1,529 | 1,505 | 98\% | 0 | 56\% | 1,505 | 54 | 528 | 566 | 1,148 | 76\% | 209 |  | 148 | 90\% |  |  |  |
| Nov-00 | 4,176 | 1,509 | 64\% | 2,667 | 1,043 | 1,624 | 1,608 | 99\% | 0 | 60\% | 1,608 | 58 | 618 | 565 | 1,241 | 77\% | 234 |  | 133 | 92\% |  |  |  |
| Dec-00 | 4,068 | 1,519 | 63\% | 2,549 | 983 | 1,566 | 1,545 | 99\% | 0 | 61\% | 1,545 | 72 | 527 | 558 | 1,157 | 75\% | 226 | - | 162 | 90\% |  |  |  |
| Jan-01 | 3,639 | 1,423 | 61\% | 2,216 | 868 | 1,348 | 1,321 | 98\% | 0 | 60\% | 1,321 | 60 | 475 | 487 | 1,022 | 77\% | 172 | - | 127 | 90\% |  |  |  |
| Feb-01 | 3,795 | 1,204 | 68\% | 2,591 | 1,058 | 1,533 | 1,522 | 99\% | 0 | 59\% | 1,522 | 65 | 559 | 513 | 1,137 | 75\% | 223 | - | 162 | 89\% |  |  |  |
| Mar-01 | 4,093 | 1,166 | 72\% | 2,927 | 1,240 | 1,687 | 1,660 | 98\% | 1 | 57\% | 1,659 | 53 | 644 | 557 | 1,254 | 76\% | 202 | - | 203 | 88\% |  |  |  |
| Apr-01 | 3,497 | 1,422 | 59\% | 2,075 | 900 | 1,175 | 1,156 | 98\% | 0 | 56\% | 1,156 | 43 | 447 | 393 | 883 | 76\% | 133 | - | 140 | 88\% |  |  |  |
| May-01 | 3,406 | 1,264 | 63\% | 2,142 | 1,098 | 1,044 | 1,037 | 99\% | 0 | 48\% | 1,037 | 42 | 362 | 367 | 771 | 74\% | 118 | - | 148 | 86\% |  |  |  |
| Jun-01 | 4,041 | 1,411 | 65\% | 2,630 | 1,115 | 1,515 | 1,495 | 99\% | 0 | 57\% | 1,495 | 68 | 566 | 488 | 1,122 | 75\% | 132 | - | 241 | 84\% |  |  |  |
| Jul-01 | 5,308 | 2,289 | 57\% | 3,019 | 1,280 | 1,739 | 1,718 | 99\% | 2 | 57\% | 1,716 | 67 | 574 | 528 | 1,169 | 68\% | 261 |  | 286 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Aug-01 | 5,503 | 1,942 | 65\% | 3,561 | 1,301 | 2,260 | 2,222 | 98\% | 0 | 62\% | 2,222 | 129 | 826 | 663 | 1,618 | 73\% | 210 | - | 394 | 82\% |  |  |  |
| Sep-01 | 4,784 | 1,625 | 66\% | 3,159 | 1,118 | 2,041 | 2,001 | 98\% | 0 | 63\% | 2,001 | 94 | 750 | 637 | 1,481 | 74\% | 167 | - | 353 | 82\% |  |  |  |
| Oct-01 | 4,819 | 1,894 | 61\% | 2,925 | 1,028 | 1,897 | 1,862 | 98\% | 0 | 64\% | 1,862 | 83 | 693 | 611 | 1,387 | 74\% | 193 | - | 282 | 85\% |  |  |  |
| Nov-01 | 3,873 | 1,357 | 65\% | 2,516 | 934 | 1,582 | 1,540 | 97\% | 17 | 61\% | 1,523 | 55 | 564 | 543 | 1,162 | 76\% | 119 | - | 242 | 84\% |  |  |  |
| Dec-01 | 3,993 | 1,703 | 57\% | 2,290 | 954 | 1,336 | 1,275 | 95\% | 7 | 55\% | 1,268 | 49 | 460 | 455 | 964 | 76\% | 115 | - | 189 | 85\% |  |  |  |
| Jan-02 | 3,850 | 1,518 | 61\% | 2,332 | 956 | 1,376 | 1,336 | 97\% | 2 | 57\% | 1,334 | 76 | 487 | 440 | 1,003 | 75\% | 107 | - | 224 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Feb-02 | 3,784 | 1,413 | 63\% | 2,371 | 829 | 1,542 | 1,520 | 99\% | 2 | 64\% | 1,518 | 64 | 540 | 537 | 1,141 | 75\% | 126 | - | 251 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Mar-02 | 5,093 | 2,238 | 56\% | 2,855 | 1,083 | 1,772 | 1,741 | 98\% | 9 | 61\% | 1,732 | 57 | 668 | 576 | 1,301 | 75\% | 133 | - | 298 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Apr-02 | 4,475 | 1,779 | 60\% | 2,696 | 953 | 1,743 | 1,713 | 98\% | 11 | 63\% | 1,702 | 53 | 663 | 560 | 1,276 | 75\% | 152 | - | 274 | 84\% |  |  |  |
| May-02 | 4,421 | 1,484 | 66\% | 2,937 | 1,063 | 1,874 | 1,853 | 99\% | 0 | 63\% | 1,853 | 62 | 726 | 613 | 1,401 | 76\% | 152 | - | 300 | 84\% |  |  |  |
| Jun-02 | 4,551 | 1,347 | 70\% | 3,204 | 1,287 | 1,917 | 1,876 | 98\% | 0 | 59\% | 1,876 | 49 | 756 | 594 | 1,399 | 75\% | 161 | - | 316 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Jul-02 | 4,597 | 1,414 | 69\% | 3,183 | 1,162 | 2,021 | 1,995 | 99\% | 4 | 63\% | 1,991 | 72 | 781 | 656 | 1,509 | 76\% | 153 | - | 329 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Aug-02 | 4,251 | 1,273 | 70\% | 2,978 | 1,076 | 1,902 | 1,834 | 96\% | 7 | 61\% | 1,827 | 67 | 723 | 569 | 1,359 | 74\% | 150 | - | 318 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Sep-02 | 4,033 | 1,414 | 65\% | 2,619 | 958 | 1,661 | 1,630 | 98\% | 19 | 62\% | 1,611 | 62 | 613 | 516 | 1,191 | 74\% | 138 | - | 282 | 82\% |  |  |  |
| Oct-02 | 4,024 | 1,220 | 70\% | 2,804 | 1,085 | 1,719 | 1,650 | 96\% | 0 | 59\% | 1,650 | 57 | 589 | 573 | 1,219 | 74\% | 134 | - | 297 | 82\% |  |  |  |
| Nov-02 | 3,643 | 1,290 | 65\% | 2,353 | 914 | 1,439 | 1,386 | 96\% | 2 | 59\% | 1,384 | 41 | 556 | 417 | 1,014 | 73\% | 127 | - | 243 | 82\% |  |  |  |
| Dec-02 | 3,802 | 1,499 | 61\% | 2,303 | 821 | 1,482 | 1,377 | 93\% | 0 | 60\% | 1,377 | 40 | 518 | 450 | 1,008 | 73\% | 132 | - | 237 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Jan-03 | 3,897 | 1,411 | 64\% | 2,486 | 864 | 1,622 | 1,461 | 90\% | 1 | 59\% | 1,460 | 42 | 547 | 482 | 1,071 | 73\% | 125 | - | 264 | 82\% |  |  |  |
| Feb-03 | 3,493 | 1,192 | 66\% | 2,301 | 872 | 1,429 | 1,339 | 94\% | 3 | 58\% | 1,336 | 44 | 502 | 417 | 963 | 72\% | 140 | - | 233 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Mar-03 | 3,934 | 1,327 | 66\% | 2,607 | 941 | 1,666 | 1,568 | 94\% | 92 | 57\% | 1,476 | 60 | 537 | 456 | 1,053 | 71\% | 179 | - | 244 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Apr-03 | 3,635 | 1,297 | 64\% | 2,338 | 830 | 1,508 | 1,426 | 95\% | 155 | 54\% | 1,271 | 39 | 498 | 367 | 904 | 71\% | 129 | - | 238 | 81\% |  |  |  |
| May-03 | 3,722 | 1,341 | 64\% | 2,381 | 903 | 1,478 | 1,412 | 96\% | 69 | 56\% | 1,343 | 36 | 493 | 420 | 949 | 71\% | 133 | - | 261 | 81\% |  |  |  |
| Jun-03 | 3,798 | 1,489 | 61\% | 2,309 | 854 | 1,455 | 1,427 | 98\% | 87 | 58\% | 1,340 | 47 | 502 | 406 | 955 | 71\% | 136 | - | 249 | 81\% |  |  |  |
| Jul-03 | 3,601 | 1,249 | 65\% | 2,352 | 874 | 1,478 | 1,443 | 98\% | 74 | 58\% | 1,369 | 50 | 506 | 439 | 995 | 73\% | 145 | - | 229 | 83\% |  |  |  |
| Aug-03 | 3,532 | 1,215 | 66\% | 2,317 | 871 | 1,446 | 1,423 | 98\% | 15 | 61\% | 1,408 | 46 | 549 | 400 | 995 | 71\% | 139 | - | 274 | 81\% |  |  |  |
| Sep-03 | 3,372 | 1,221 | 64\% | 2,151 | 722 | 1,429 | 1,405 | 98\% | 63 | 62\% | 1,342 | 58 | 532 | 423 | 1,013 | 75\% | 115 | - | 214 | 84\% |  |  |  |
| Oct-03 | 3,572 | 1,309 | 63\% | 2,263 | 777 | 1,486 | 1,453 | 98\% | 59 | 62\% | 1,394 | 36 | 560 | 431 | 1,027 | 74\% | 113 | - | 254 | 82\% |  |  |  |
| Nov-03 | 3,236 | 1,123 | 65\% | 2,113 | 773 | 1,340 | 1,311 | 98\% | 31 | 61\% | 1,280 | 37 | 517 | 359 | 913 | 71\% | 136 | - | 231 | 82\% |  |  |  |
| Dec-03 | 2,957 | 1,079 | 64\% | 1,878 | 672 | 1,206 | 1,177 | 98\% | 48 | 60\% | 1,129 | 41 | 414 | 344 | 799 | 71\% | 128 | - | 202 | 82\% |  | - | - |

City of West Hollywood Performance Report


| Total | Non |
| :---: | :---: |
| Events | Violations |
| 3,098 | 1,135 |
| 3,539 | 1,173 |
| 3,683 | 1,199 |
| 2,985 | 1,121 |
| 3,402 | 1,191 |
| 3,258 | 1,079 |
| 3,450 | 1,123 |
| 3,915 | 1,273 |
| 3,400 | 1,161 |
| 3,547 | 1,239 |
| 3,313 | 1,217 |
| 3,294 | 1,208 |
| 2,926 | 1,073 |
| 3,198 | 1,133 |
| 3,446 | 1,179 |
| 3,267 | 1,237 |
| 2,979 | 1,083 |
| 3,048 | 1,051 |
| 3,531 | 1,183 |
| 3,688 | 1,198 |
| 3,645 | 1,243 |
| 3,284 | 1,133 |
| 3,693 | 1,383 |
| 3,376 | 1,409 |
| 3,501 | 1,385 |
| 3,398 | 1,306 |
| 3,837 | 1,537 |
| 3,893 | 1,799 |
| 3,791 | 1,476 |
| 4,069 | 1,519 |
| 3,776 | 1,385 |
| 3,064 | 917 |
| 2,785 | 883 |
| 3,091 | 1,092 |
| 3,470 | 1,340 |
| 3,122 | 1,002 |
| 3,119 | 937 |
| 2,874 | 913 |
| 3,309 | 1,144 |
| 3,145 | 1,093 |
| 3,368 | 1,267 |
| 3,364 | 1,094 |
| 3,088 | 1,121 |
| 2,790 | 954 |
| 3,004 | 1,110 |
| 2,879 | 1,079 |
| 2,795 | 972 |
| 2,748 | 977 |
| 2,635 | 959 |
| 2,490 | 908 |
| 2,850 | 929 |
| 2,896 | 1,016 |
| 2,995 | 1,095 |
| 2,834 | 965 |
| 2,729 | 901 |
| 2,264 | 618 |
| 2,495 | 765 |
| 2,847 | 1,023 |
| 2,694 | 950 |
| 2,754 | 921 |

 Pot | Potential Initial Actual DMV |
| :--- |
| Violatial | $\frac{\frac{\text { Initial }}{\text { Rejects }}}{6}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Actual }}{\text { Violation }}$

1,2
 N/A
Return

| N/A |
| ---: |
| Returns |
| 1,259 |
| 1,587 |
| 1,573 |
| 1,176 |
| 1,422 |
| 1,270 |
| 1,441 |
| 1,686 |
| 1,404 |
| 1,421 |
| 1,298 |
| 1,285 |
| 1,130 |
| 1,292 |
| 1,404 |
| 1,264 |
| 1,243 |
| 1,254 |
| 1,388 |
| 1,373 |
| 1,665 |
| 1,338 |
| 1,570 |
| 1,344 |
| 1,386 |
| 1,298 |
| 1,536 |
| 1,307 |
| 1,575 |
| 1,581 |
| 1,613 |
| 1,419 |
| 1,275 |
| 1,162 |
| 1,186 |
| 1,124 |
| 1,166 |
| 1,034 |
| 1,178 |
| 1,064 |
| 1,052 |
| 1,170 |
| 1,019 |
| 936 |
| 887 |
| 898 |
| 1,004 |
| 959 |
| 886 |
| 718 |
| 894 |
| 803 |
| 852 |
| 914 |
| 933 |
| 1,009 |
| 947 |
| 1,008 |
| 1,000 | $\frac{D M V}{\text { Succes }}$

$\frac{\text { Rate }}{}$ $\frac{\text { Police }}{\text { client }}$ Policel d Issuan ํㅐํ ํํํ ํํ ํ


| 힝 <br>  |
| :---: |


$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Closed } \\ \text { No Pav } & \frac{\text { Cance }}{\text { Nixie }}\end{array}$ $\qquad$ 208 $\frac{\text { Cosure }}{\frac{\text { Rate }}{83 \%}} \xlongequal{\frac{\text { Court }}{\text { Packs }}} \quad \xrightarrow{\frac{\text { TbD }}{\text { Packs }}}$. $\frac{\text { Contest }}{\text { Rate }}$




City of West Hollywood Performance Report


| Total | Non |
| :---: | :---: |
| Events | Violations |
| 2,521 | 894 |
| 2,292 | 807 |
| 2,547 | 895 |
| 2,457 | 835 |
| 2,655 | 903 |
| 2,815 | 1,043 |
| 5,699 | 3,778 |
| 5,250 | 3,442 |
| 4,663 | 3,263 |
| 5,176 | 3,375 |
| 4,685 | 3,033 |
| 5,024 | 3,633 |
| 5,401 | 4,068 |
| 5,714 | 4,366 |
| 5,919 | 4,388 |
| 7,093 | 5,453 |
| 5,863 | 4,149 |
| 5,251 | 3,457 |
| 4,809 | 3,053 |
| 4,662 | 2,930 |
| 4,451 | 2,945 |
| 4,319 | 2,874 |
| 4,287 | 2,766 |
| 3,873 | 2,396 |
| 3,669 | 2,521 |
| 3,783 | 2,473 |
| 4,531 | 2,930 |
| 4,141 | 2,832 |
| 4,972 | 3,122 |
| 4,237 | 2,674 |
| 4,491 | 2,758 |
| 5,035 | 3,178 |
| 4,383 | 2,596 |
| 4,447 | 2,447 |
| 4,450 | 2,563 |
| 4,277 | 2,419 |
| 4,352 | 2,421 |
| 4,258 | 2,352 |
| 4,807 | 2,581 |
| 4,920 | 2,703 |
| 5,216 | 2,789 |
| 3,227 | 1,228 |
| 4,806 | 2,412 |
| 5,237 | 2,698 |
| 5,087 | 2,704 |
| 4,567 | 2,410 |
| 3,983 | 2,247 |
| 3,854 | 2,283 |
| 4,038 | 2,466 |
| 3,881 | 2,191 |
| 4,253 | 2,244 |
| 3,818 | 2,112 |
| 4,388 | 2,323 |
| 4,390 | 2,257 |
| 4,657 | 2,440 |
| 4,657 | 2,440 |
| 4,371 | 2,304 |
| 4,403 | 2,360 |
| 4,424 | 2,444 |
| 4,014 | 2,259 |


$\frac{\text { Potential }}{\text { Violations }}$ $\frac{\text { Potential }}{\text { Violation }}$
 Actual
Violation
1 ๗ $\xrightarrow[\text { neturns }]{\text { N/A }}$ SMV
DMV
Success
Police
client


## $\frac{\text { Issuance }}{\text { Rate }}$ <br> $\frac{\text { Issuance }}{\frac{\text { Rate }}{56 \%}}$

Citations $\qquad$ Fine Bail Traffic ${\underset{34}{\frac{\text { Pine }}{}}}_{{ }^{\text {Paid }}}$ $\xrightarrow{\text { Bail }}$

Traffic $\frac{\text { Su }}{10}$ $\frac{\text { Sum of }}{{\underset{6}{\text { Paid }}}^{6}}$


 $\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{N}}$ $\frac{\text { Cancel }}{\text { Nixie }}$ $\qquad$ g $\frac{\mathrm{Clo}}{\mathrm{R}}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\text { Closure }}{\text { Rate }} & \frac{\text { Court }}{\text { Packs }}\end{array}$ $\frac{\text { Court }}{\frac{\text { Packs }}{88}}$ $\xrightarrow{\frac{\text { TbD }}{\text { Packs }}} \frac{C}{C}$


|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { Violations } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{}$ | Potential <br> Violations | Initial | $\frac{\text { Actual }}{\text { Violations }}$ | $\underset{\text { Returns }}{\frac{\text { N/A }}{}}$ | $\frac{\text { DMV }}{\frac{\text { Success }}{\text { Rate }}}$ | Police/ Client Rejected | $\frac{\text { Issuance }}{\text { Rate }}$ | Citations | $\frac{\text { Fine }}{\text { Paid }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Bail }}{\text { Forfiture }} \end{aligned}$ | Traffic | $\frac{\text { Sum of }}{\text { Paid }}$ | $\frac{\text { Pay }}{\text { Rate }}$ | Closed | $\frac{\text { Cancel }}{\text { Nixie }}$ | Pending | $\frac{\text { Closure }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Court }}{\text { Packs }}$ | $\frac{\frac{\text { TbD }}{\text { Packs }}}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Contest }}{\text { Rate }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-14 | 3,634 | 1,979 | 46\% | 1,655 | 631 | 1,024 | 749 | 73\% | 50 | 42\% | 699 | 25 | 150 | 116 | 291 | 42\% | 109 |  | 299 | 57\% | 68 | 26 | 10\% |
| Feb-14 | 3,179 | 1,695 | 47\% | 1,484 | 585 | 899 | 758 | 84\% | 58 | 47\% | 700 | 20 | 143 | 111 | 274 | 39\% | 102 |  | 324 | 54\% | 78 | 19 | 10\% |
| Mar-14 | 4,033 | 2,355 | 42\% | 1,678 | 682 | 996 | 861 | 86\% | 80 | 47\% | 781 | 22 | 141 | 118 | 281 | 36\% | 78 | - | 422 | 46\% | 55 | 13 | 7\% |
| Apr-14 | 4,101 | 2,334 | 3\% | 1,767 | 780 | 987 | 855 | 87\% | 77 | 44\% | 778 | 19 | 126 | 102 | 247 | 32\% | 55 | - | 476 | 39\% | 110 | 1 | 12\% |
| May-14 | 3,932 | 2,166 | 45\% | 1,766 | 785 | 981 | 864 | 88\% | 72 | 45\% | 792 | 17 | 124 | 95 | 236 | 30\% | 44 |  | 512 | 35\% | 74 | 13 | 9\% |
| Jun-14 | 4,206 | 2,371 | 44\% | 1,835 | 790 | 1,045 | 934 | 89\% | 65 | 47\% | 869 | 15 | 90 | 72 | 177 | 20\% | 90 |  | 602 | 31\% | 68 | 7 | 8\% |
| Jul-14 | 4,422 | 2,350 | 47\% | 2,072 | 971 | 1,101 | 973 | 88\% | 64 | 44\% | 909 | 14 | 81 | 56 | 151 | 17\% | 59 |  | 699 | 23\% | 119 | 20 | 15\% |
| Aug-14 | 4,605 | 2,408 | 48\% | 2,197 | 1,035 | 1,162 | 1,024 | 88\% | 47 | 44\% | 977 | 17 | 71 | 43 | 131 | 13\% | 51 | - | 795 | 19\% | 86 | 1 | 9\% |
| Sep-14 | 4,111 | 2,132 | 48\% | 1,979 | 947 | 1,032 | 915 | 89\% | 65 | 43\% | 850 | 10 | 50 | 35 | 95 | 11\% | 37 | - | 718 | 16\% | 49 | 11 | 6\% |
| Oct-14 | 4,011 | 2,071 | 48\% | 1,940 | 826 | 1,114 | 928 | 83\% | 65 | 44\% | 863 | 8 | 36 | 28 | 72 | 8\% | 22 | - | 769 | 11\% | 53 | 3 | 6\% |
| Nov-14 | 3,243 | 2,005 | 38\% | 1,238 | 669 | 569 | 496 | 87\% | 11 | 39\% | 485 | 6 | 27 | 22 | 55 | 11\% | 28 | - | 402 | 17\% | 58 | 8 | 7\% |
| Dec-14 | 2,585 | 1,679 | 35\% | 906 | 530 | 376 | 320 | 85\% | 1 | 35\% | 319 | 3 | 16 | 19 | 38 | 12\% | 20 | - | 261 | 18\% | 46 | 5 | 5\% |
| Jan-15 | 2,692 | 1,405 | 48\% | 1,287 | 647 | 640 | 563 | 88\% | 15 | 43\% | 548 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 25 | 5\% | 13 | - | 510 | 7\% | 41 | 4 | 4\% |
| Feb-15 | 1,860 | 790 | 58\% | 1,070 | 467 | 603 | 527 | 87\% | 18 | 48\% | 509 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 4\% | 8 | - | 482 | 5\% | 34 | 2 | 3\% |
| Mar-15 | 2,002 | 990 | 51\% | 1,012 | 690 | 322 | 266 | 83\% | 7 | 26\% | 259 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 3\% | 3 | - | 247 | 5\% | 45 | 7 | 5\% |
| Apr-15 | 1,940 | 1,014 | 48\% | 926 | 517 | 409 | 346 | 85\% | 9 | 36\% | 337 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0\% | 1 | - | 335 | 1\% | 39 | 5 |  |
| Total | 753,760 | 355,805 | 53\% | 397,955 | 157,219 | 240,736 | 223,598 | 93\% | 7,639 | 54\% | 215,959 | 8,155 | 71,527 | 58,678 | 138,360 | 65\% | 25,749 | 347 | 51,503 | 77\% | 8,895 | 461 |  |

* Total Pay and Closure Rates omit the last 4 months to allow for court processing
** The Contest Rate assumes a 6 month lag from the violation date and is only available from January 2006 to present

